

1 Daniel Rapaport (Bar No. 67217)  
2 **WENDEL, ROSEN, BLACK & DEAN, LLP**  
3 1111 Broadway, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
4 Oakland, California 94607-4036  
5 Telephone: (510) 834-6600  
6 Fax: (510) 834-1928

ORIGINAL  
FILED

OCT 16 2008

RICHARD W. VICKING  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
OAKLAND

7 Alan E. Walcher (Bar No. 089717)  
8 **EPSTEIN, BECKER & GREEN, P.C.**  
9 1875 Century Park East, Suite 500  
10 Los Angeles, California 90067-2506  
11 Telephone: (310) 556-8861

12 Attorneys for Defendants  
13 **THE PACIFICA FOUNDATION, et al.**

14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
15 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

16 C 00 3815 WHA  
Case No.

NOTICE OF RELATED CASE

17 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF  
18 CALIFORNIA, ex rel. CAROL SPOONER,  
19 KURT GUERDRUM, ARTURO  
20 GRIFFITHS, AMBURN R. HAGUE,  
21 LEIGH HAUTER, PATRICIA HEFFLEY,  
22 BARBARA MacQUIDDY, RICK  
23 POTHOFF, CHARLES P.H. SCURICH,  
24 RONALD SWART, individually and on  
25 behalf of PACIFICA FOUNDATION,

26 Plaintiffs,

27 vs.

28 PACIFICA FOUNDATION, a California  
non-profit public benefit corporation and  
charitable trust, MARY FRANCES BERRY,  
JUNE MAKELA, FRANK MILLSPAUGH,  
ANDREA CISCO, KEN FORD, ROB  
ROBINSON, DAVID ACOSTA,  
MICHAEL PALMER, ROBERT  
FARRELL, AARON KRIEGEL, PETER  
BRAMSON, KAROLYN VAN PUTTEN,  
TOMAS MORAN, WENDELL JONES,  
LESLIE CAGAN, VALRIE CHAMBERS,  
BERTRAM LEE, BETH LYONS, JOHN  
MURDOCK, LYNN CHADWICK, and  
DOES 1-100, inclusive,,

Defendants.

Wendel, Rosen, Black & Dean, LLP  
1111 Broadway, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Oakland, California 94607-4036

Wendel, Rosen, Black & Dean, LLP  
1111 Broadway, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Oakland, California 94607-4036

1 TO UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT AT OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA:

2 Pursuant to Local Rule 3-12, Pacifica Foundation files this Notice of Related Case.

3 A companion case against Pacifica Foundation and its directors for the same  
4 conduct entitled *Robinson, et al. v. Pacifica Foundation, et al.* was filed in State Court by  
5 parties aligned with plaintiffs in this action.

6 Both this case and the case against Pacifica were for alleged violation of the same  
7 By-Laws in the identical fashion.

8 WHEREFORE, for all of the foregoing reasons, Pacifica Foundation respectfully  
9 requests that the above captioned case presently pending before this Court be transferred  
10 to the same judge assigned to the *Robinson, et al. v. Pacifica Foundation, et al.* case.

11  
12 Dated: October 16, 2000

13 WENDEL, ROSEN, BLACK & DEAN, LLP

14  
15 By 

16 Daniel Rapaport  
17 Attorneys for Defendants  
18 PACIFICA FOUNDATION, et al.  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28